

2012 UFMP update comment provided on 9/10/12 (10:47 a.m.) via online form

- 1) What is the definition for management units? Zoning, land use? What is "Downtown"? Is it office? Need to add residential to "single family", multi family".
- 2) Make management unit names consistent. Forest/Watersheds or Parks: natural areas?
- 3) Add management unit "Water Body". Why would Green Lake be calculated as supporting trees?
- 4) Why isn't Burke-Gilman Trail shown on map and/or included in "Developed Parks?"
- 5) Why isn't there a category for roads and streets on the maps? After all Section 3.4 describes the amount of ROW. This is a considerable amount of land and including it with residential or other adjacent MUs is deceptive, skews actual totals.
- 6) Must have larger Figure 1. Basically unreadable at existing scale, not readable in black/white. Move pie chart to other page so that city map can be enlarged.
- 7) Section "View" must have better inventory and or analysis. Include map which shows contour elevations, level of existing canopies. Include figure which identifies existing public viewpoints and viewsheds.
- 8) Section 5 "Goals, Strategies and Actions" Or "Goals, Strategies and Action Agenda"? Section "5.1 Goal" or should this be "5.1 Goals"? Stating that there is not enough data to develop "Health and Longevity", "Age and Species Diversity", or "Invasive Species Management" goals IS NOT GOAL SETTING. Poor study organization if 3 of 4 goals are not actually goals. "A. Canopy Cover" is this "Increase canopy cover to 30% by 2037"?
- 9) Where is there an analysis of increased green waste if canopy cover increased? Existing costs of removing fall leaf litter on public streets?